### Climate

### Today's agenda

The role of the natural atmosphere in the climate system Human impact on the atmosphere and the climate

- Radiation balance (of the Earth)
- The greenhouse effect
- Radiative forcing
- Climate change

Literature connected with today's lecture: Jacob chapter 7, and IPCC SPM (on webpage)

Exercises: 7:1 – 7:6

### Climate – what's climate?

...climate is the statistics of weather conditions in an area over a long period of time...

(WMO)

- Temperature
- Humidity
- Atmospheric pressure
- Wind
- Atmospheric particle count
- Other meteorological variables

### Why are we interested in climate?





# CO<sub>2</sub> – temperature – ocean acidity



# The Sun warms the Earth

- Black body radiation – Emitted intensity, I, [W/m<sup>2</sup>]  $I = \sigma T^4$ 

( $\sigma$  = Stefan-Boltzmann's constant [ $\sigma$  = 5.67 10<sup>-8</sup> W/(m<sup>2</sup>K<sup>4</sup>)])

- The sun is approximately a black body at 5800 K
- Radiation to the Earth Solar constant: (distributed over Earth's shadow area, the area of a circle)

$$F_{S} = \frac{P}{4\pi d^{2}} = \frac{4\pi R_{S}^{2} \sigma T_{S}^{4}}{4\pi d^{2}} = 1370 \, W/m^{2}$$

- The flux distributed over the entire surface of the Earth (the area of a sphere)
- The radiation flux per area at the Earth's surface becomes:

$$\Phi = \frac{\pi r^2}{4\pi r^2} \cdot F_s = \frac{F_s}{4} \approx 340 \, W/m^2$$



### Radiation Balance of the Earth

- Radiation flux at the Earth's surface  $\Phi = \frac{\pi r^2}{4\pi r^2} \cdot F_s = \frac{F_s}{4} \approx 340 \, W/m^2$
- Satellites show that the Earth's Albedo is 28% (A=0.28)



- Reflection by the Earth (average per area)  $\Phi = F_{S}A/4$
- Absorption by the Earth (average per area)  $\Phi = F_{S}(1-A)/4$
- Radiation balance
  - The Earth emits the same amount as is absorbed
  - Consider the Earth as a black body:

 $\sigma T_E^4 = F_S(1-A)/4$ 

- $\longrightarrow$  T<sub>E</sub> = 257 K
- The earth viewed from space
  - Effective temperature -16°C
  - The temperature that the Earth would have had if it did not have an atmosphere (GHGs)

### The greenhouse effect warms...

- An "insulating" layer of GHGs
- Prevents radiation from penetrating through the atmosphere
- Keeps the energy in the Earth-atmosphere-system

- The Earth's *surface* are heated by the Sun
- The Earth then emits radiation that get trapped by GHGs

### Atmospheric Absorption of Radiation

- The sun solar radiation
  - High temperature short wavelength
- The earth terrestrial radiation
  - Lower temp. longer wavelength
- UV absorbed by  $O_2$  and  $O_3$
- The atmosphere fairly transparent for solar radiation  $(H_2O)$



- H<sub>2</sub>O, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, O<sub>3</sub> and CFC absorbs large fraction of the terrestrial radiation
- Atmospheric window ( $\lambda = 8 13 \mu m$ ): Radiation direct from the surface to space

### Radiation Spectrum of the Earth

- The Earth radiation spectrum differs from black body radiation
  - Absorption and re-emission in the atmosphere
  - The atm temp decreases with altitude
  - Temp determines radiation (σT<sup>4</sup>) Less radiation from a cold body
- Combination of black bodies of different temperatures



- Atmospheric "window" (8-13µm):
  - $\Box$  Weak absorption in the atm  $\Rightarrow$
  - Radiation from surface T≈320K (North Africa!)
- CO<sub>2</sub> at 15 µm:
   T≈215K
  - effective emission altitude ~ 10 km
- H<sub>2</sub>O (7 & 20 μm):
  - □ T≈260K
  - effective emission altitude ~ 5 km (precipitation keeps H<sub>2</sub>O at low altitudes)
  - The greenhouse effect:
    - Atm absorption + atm temp decrease with altitude  $\Rightarrow$
    - □ Part of the terrestrial emission from lower temp ("deep valleys" in the spectrum)  $\Rightarrow$
    - Radiation balance
      - Total emission corresponding to 257 K (T<sub>E</sub>) needed
      - => increased overall temperature to compensate for the dips in the spectrum
    - => Increased surface temperature

### **Radiation Balance**



#### $\mathbf{o}$ $\mathbf{r}$

#### Short wave radiation (in):

- 28% reflected (19% clouds)
- 72% absorbed (47% surface)

#### Long wave radiation:

• 96% atmosphere  $\rightarrow$  surface

#### Non-radiative components:

 24 + 5% heat transport from surface to atmosphere (vapour formation, convection)

#### The greenhouse effect:

- The balance of the surface
  - $\Phi_{rel} = 22+25+96-24-5 = 114\%$
- The greenhouse effect increases the radiation to the surface
  - 14% higher than without an atmosphere

### A Simple Climate Model

#### Assumptions on the atmosphere:

- Thin, isothermal layer
- Absorbs fraction **f** of the terrestrial radiation
- Transparent to solar radiation

### Radiation balance:

Earth + atmosphere :

$$\frac{F_{s}(1-A)}{4} = (1-f)\sigma T_{j}^{4} + f\sigma T_{a}^{4}$$

The Atmosphere:  $f\sigma T_j^4 = 2f\sigma T_a^4$ 

```
\Rightarrow
T_{j} = \left(\frac{F_{s}(1-A)}{4\sigma(1-f/2)}\right)^{1/4}
```



Now we have a simple climate model for understanding the atmosphere...

# **Radiative Forcing**

The initial change caused by a change in radiative properties(!) (excluding climate feed-backs)

- 1. Starting conditions (Equilibrium)
  - Radiation model
  - Specify system components
  - Compute temperature

### 2. Perturb the system

- Specify new components
- Temperatures are kept unchanged
- Causes difference between incoming and outgoing radiation
- Radiative Forcing  $\Delta F [W/m^2]$ 
  - Positive  $\rightarrow$  Warming
  - Negative  $\rightarrow$  Cooling
  - "Theoretical" product (nature does not "freeze" starting temp)
  - Frequently used to describe the potential of climate perturbations



### Climate Change Caused by Man



Last ice age, 11 500 y ago

- Natural GH effect (CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O) increases surface temp by 33° C
- Ongoing climate change:
  - Increasing air and ocean temp
  - Reduced snow cover
  - Rising sea level
- Increased GHG concentration has increased the GH effect
- GHG emissions explain climate change?
  - Historical temp variations larger Due to variation in solar activity



- Natural variations do not explain the increased temperature in the last 200 years
  - Climate models predict further warming IPCC (UN climate panel):
    - " It is extremely likely that human influence has been the dominant cause of observed warming since the mid 20<sup>th</sup> century."

# **Climate Change**

- Change of the radiation properties causes:
  - Initial temperature change
  - Feedbacks due to change
- Combines to a climate change



#### ${\rm H_2O}\ feedbacks$

- H<sub>2</sub>O the most important GHG
  - Human's emissions small compared with the natural sources
- Increase of another GHGs  $\Rightarrow$  increased temp  $\Rightarrow$  evaporation of H<sub>2</sub>O  $\Rightarrow$  further increased temp  $\Rightarrow$  more evaporation...
- Counteraction
  - cloud formation and precipitation
  - Prevents H<sub>2</sub>O from reaching high (cold) altitudes
- The role of clouds in a temperature change is still unclear:
  - more  $H_2O \Rightarrow$  increased cloudiness  $\Rightarrow$  increased albedo
  - more  $H_2O \Rightarrow$  larger cloud drops  $\Rightarrow$  faster formation of precipitation  $\Rightarrow$  reduced cloudiness  $\Rightarrow$  reduced albedo
- Large quantitative uncertainties concerning clouds in the climate system

# **Climate Change**

- Feedbacks due to change
  - complicated
  - large quantitative uncertainties
- The initial phase is directly connected with the radiative properties
  - better understood quantitatively
- The potential of climate change of greenhouse gases (radiative forcing) is known with high accuracy

### **Climate Sensitivity**

• How does  $\Delta F$  relate to a temperature change when feedbacks are neglected?

(4)

Radiative Forcing (greenhouse gases):

$$\Delta F = F_S (1 - A) / 4 - (1 - \frac{f + \Delta f}{2}) \sigma T_0^4 =$$
  
=  $(1 - \frac{f}{2}) \sigma T_0^4 - (1 - \frac{f + \Delta f}{2}) \sigma T_0^4 = \frac{\Delta f}{2} \sigma T_0^4$  (1)

Assume a new temperature equilibrium:

$$\frac{F_S(1-A)}{4} = (1 - \frac{f}{2})\sigma T_0^4 = (1 - \frac{f + \Delta f}{2})\sigma (T_0 + \Delta T_0)^4$$
(2)

Small perturbations: (neglect second order terms...)  $(T_0 + \Delta T_0)^4 \approx T_0^4 + 4T_0^3 \Delta T_0$  (3)

Combine (2) and (3)  $\Delta T_0 = \frac{T_0 \Delta f}{8(1 - \frac{f}{2})}$  from (1) and (4):



 $(T_0 = 288 \text{ K}; f = 0.77)$  $\lambda = \text{climate sensitivity parameter}$ 

# Problem

IPCC estimate that a doubling of the  $CO_2$  concentration causes a radiative forcing ( $\Delta F$ ) of 4.4 W/m<sup>2</sup>. Assuming no feedbacks on temperature change, how much will the average temperature change on earth?

*Hint:* The climate sensitivity parameter  $\lambda$ 

Solution:  $\Delta T = \lambda \cdot \Delta F = 0.3 \cdot 4.4 = 1.3 \text{ K}$ ... of rapid warming Feed-back in the climate system gives further warming

# **Radiative Forcings of Pollutants**

		Emitted compound	Resulting atmospheric drivers		Radia	ative fo	orcing	by er	nissio	ns and	d drive	ers	l cc	Level of
Anthropogenic	jases	CO <sub>2</sub>	CO2		   	I I					1.	68 [1.33 to 2.0	)3]	VH
	Well-mixed greenhouse g	$CH_4$	CO <sub>2</sub> H <sub>2</sub> O <sup>str</sup> O <sub>3</sub> CH <sub>4</sub>		l I	l I		<b>⊢</b> •		   	0.	97 [0.74 to 1.3	20]	н
		Halo- carbons	O <sub>3</sub> CFCs HCFCs		   		<b>-</b>			1	0.	18 [0.01 to 0.3	35]	н
		N <sub>2</sub> O	N <sub>2</sub> O		,   	-   	*			I	0.	17 [0.13 to 0.3	21]	VH
	id aerosols	со	CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>4</sub> O <sub>3</sub>			l I	<b>I</b> ♦I				0.	23 [0.16 to 0.3	30]	м
		NMVOC	$CO_2$ $CH_4$ $O_3$		   	 	H+I				0.	10 [0.05 to 0.1	15]	м
	gases an	NO <sub>x</sub>	Nitrate CH <sub>4</sub> O <sub>3</sub>		'   	¦ <b>⊦↓</b>	1			I I	<b>-0</b> .1	5 [-0.34 to 0.0	03]	м
	Short lived	Aerosols and precursors (Mineral dust,	Mineral dust Sulphate Nitrate Organic carbon Black carbon								-0.2	?7 [-0.77 to 0.3	23]	н
	a	SO <sub>2</sub> , NH <sub>3</sub> , Organic carbon nd Black carbon)	Cloud adjustments due to aerosols	ŀ	   		1			   	-0.5	5 [-1.33 to -0.0	06]	L
	Albedo change due to land use						l				-0.1	5 [-0.25 to -0.0	)5]	м
Natural			Changes in solar irradiance		 	-	•I I			-   	0.	05 [0.00 to 0.1	10]	м
				_	-1	(	)		1	2		3		
					Rad	diative	forci	ng rela	ative to	o 175	0 (W r	n <sup>−2</sup> )		

- Radiative forcing
  - Expresses change in radiative properties or potential climate change
  - Difficult to translate to temperature change due to feedbacks
- The radiative forcing by greenhouse gases (GHG) quantitatively known
- Larger uncertainties in the direct aerosol effect
- The indirect aerosol effect poorly known quantitatively

# **Radiative Forcings of Pollutants**



We have significantly changed the radiative properties

- Difficult to estimate temperature change due to feedbacks

- Anthropogenic  $\Delta F$ : +2.3 W/m<sup>2</sup>
- Climate sensitivity factor (λ) neglecting feedbacks:
  - $\Delta T = \lambda \Delta F = 0.3 x 2.3 = 0.7 K$
  - ΔT observed until 2011: 0.8 K
  - Slow feed-backs will result in future warming
- Large uncertainty 1.1 3.3
   W/m<sup>2</sup>
  - Mainly from aerosols
- => Large uncertainties in the climate sensitivity
- => Aerosol forcings have the potential to mask the warming from greenhouse gases
- Might delay detection of dangerous climate change

### Future scenarios









### Future - Temperature and precepitation



### Future – Ice extent and ocean acidity

(c)

Northern Hemisphere September sea ice extent (average 2081–2100)

CMIP5 subset

CMIP5 subset





Change in ocean surface pH (1986-2005 to 2081-2100)



# What we talked about

The role of the natural atmosphere in the climate system Human impact on the atmosphere and the climate

- Radiation balance (of the Earth)
- The greenhouse effect
- Radiative forcing
- Climate change (ongoing and future)

Literature connected with today's lecture:

Jacob chapter 7, and IPCC SPM (on webpage)

Exercises: 7:1 – 7:6